Your name (first name, then last name):
Your TA's name:
SUNY-Binghamton Economics 160, Principles of Microeconomics, Christopher Hanes Problem set 9 Oligopoly, externalities
1) Look at the Excel spreadsheet. It is like the one we used in class to talk about Cournot competition. Suppose two firms produce a good. The demand curve for the good is described by the first two columns in Table 1 (the upper table).
a) Suppose the two firms can collude and act as a cartel. Under the cartel agreement, what would be the price?
what would be the total quantity of production from the two firms taken together?
how much would each firm produce?
how much profit would each firm receive?
b) Look at the lower panel, Table 2, of the spreadsheet. In the gray box, you can type in a hypothetical level of production for firm A. The second column of the table gives hypothetical levels of production for firm B. The last column gives the profit that firm B would receive if firm A produced the quantity you typed into the gray box, and firm B produced the quantity in the second column. If both firms hold to the cartel agreement, how much profit will firm B receive?
If firm A holds to the cartel agreement, what quantity of production would maximize B's profit?
Is the cartel agreement a Nash equilibrium (yes or no)?
One of the following situations is a Nash equilibrium. Use Table 2 to figure out which one it is. Circle it below. Firm A produces 18, firm B produces 18 Firm A produces 20, firm B produces 20 Firm A produces 22, firm B produces 22 Firm A produces 24, firm B produces 24 Firm A produces 26, firm B produces 26
In this Nash equilibrium, what is the price?
What would the Nash equilibrium price be if the two firms interacted in "Bertrand" competition?
What would the equilibrium price be if the market were perfectly competitive?

2) Suppose you live in a dorm, in a double, with a room-mate. Your room-mate wants to drink beer in the room.

(continued on next page)

Your room-mate would be willing to pay \$50 to be able to drink in the room. You would rather not have anyone drink beer in the room. You would be willing to pay \$40 to not have anyone drink beer in the room.

a) What situation would maximize total surplus: *allow/not allow* drinking in the room:

b) Suppose dorm rules allow drinking in rooms. Drinking will/will not occur:

Who will receive money? You/your room-mate/no one:

c) Suppose dorm rules do not allow drinking in rooms. But of course if you don't squeal, no one will know.

Drinking *will/will not* occur:

Who will receive money? You/your room-mate/no one:

3) Repeat question 2). As before, your room-mate would be willing to pay \$50 to be able to drink in the room. But now you would be willing to pay \$60 (not \$40) to not have anyone drink beer in the room.

a) What situation would maximize total surplus: *allow/not allow* drinking in the room:

b) Suppose dorm rules allow drinking in rooms. Drinking *will/will not* occur:

Who will receive money? You/your room-mate/no one:

c) Suppose dorm rules do not allow drinking in rooms. But of course if you don't squeal, no one will know.

Drinking *will/will not* occur:

Who will receive money? You/your room-mate/no one: