

TIME-DEPENDENT PRICE ADJUSTMENT, A GENERAL RESULT (ROMER 7.1, "Firms")

Question: if a firm knows that the price it sets today will remain in effect for more than one period (more than one realization of aggregate demand, etc.), what price will it choose?

Notation

R_t Real profit ($\frac{\$Profit}{P}$) firm receives in period t

p_i Price of firm's product

p_t^* Price that would maximize R_t , if firm were able to adjust its price every period

t_0 Period in which firm can set a new price

q_t Probability the price set at time t_0 is still in effect at time t

[Note: we assume q_t is exogenous, unaffected by p_i or by realization of AD, hence price setting is not "state-dependent"]

$$\omega_t = q_t / \underbrace{\sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} q_{\tau}}_{\text{expected value of the number of periods price will remain stuck at } p_i}$$

TIME-DEPENDENT... (cont.)

(2)

Result we'll get:

$$P_i = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \omega_t E_0 [P_t^*]$$

expected value of P_t^*
as of t_0

Example: if price will remain in effect for two periods, & I can adjust in the third period (in other words, firm can adjust its price every other period),

$$q_0 = 1 \quad q_1 = 1 \quad q_2 = q_3 = \dots = 0$$

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} q_t = 1 + 1 + 0 + \dots = 2$$

$$\omega_0 = \frac{q_0}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \quad \omega_1 = \frac{q_1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \quad \omega_2 = 0$$

$$P_i = \frac{1}{2} E_0 [P_0^*] + \frac{1}{2} E_0 [P_1^*]$$

if P_0^* known at t_0 (no uncertainty),

$$P_i = \frac{1}{2} P_0^* + \frac{1}{2} E_0 [P_1^*]$$

TIME-DEPENDENT...

(3)

Why is $\sum_{T=0}^{\infty} q_{\lfloor T}$ expected value of price duration?

Say T_F is last period + price could possibly still be in force.

Expected value of life is

$$\begin{aligned} &= 1 \cdot \text{Prob. price dies in one year (not later)} \\ &+ 2 \cdot \text{Prob. price dies in two years (not earlier or later)} \\ &+ \dots \\ &+ T_F \cdot \text{Prob. price dies in } T_F \text{ years.} \end{aligned}$$

So what is prob. price lives to year T , and dies in year T ? prob. price is still alive in $T+1$

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - q_{\lfloor T+1}) &\text{ is prob. price is not still alive in } T+1 \\ &= \text{Prob. dies in } 1 + \text{Prob. dies in } 2 + \dots + \text{Prob. dies in } T \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - q_{\lfloor T}) &\text{ is prob. price not still alive in } T \\ &= \text{Prob. price dies in } 1 + \dots + \text{Prob. dies in } T-1 \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - q_{\lfloor T+1}) - (1 - q_{\lfloor T}) &= \text{Prob. dies in } T \\ &= q_{\lfloor T} - q_{\lfloor T+1} \end{aligned}$$

so...

TIME-DEPENDENT... (cont.)

(4)

Why is $\sum_{T=0}^{\infty} q_T$...? (cont.)

Expected value of life is

$$= 1 \cdot (q_0 - q_1)$$

$$+ 2 \cdot (q_2 - q_1)$$

+ ...

$$+ T_F (q_{T_F} - q_{T_F+1})$$

zero

$$= q_0 - q_1 + 2q_1 - 2q_2 + 3q_2 - 3q_3 + \dots$$

$$= q_0 + q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_{T_F}$$

$$= \sum_{T=0}^{\infty} q_T$$

Note this allows for possibility that there's no T_F .

In Taylor model price lives a certain number of periods (two), then dies

but you could assume price has a constant probability of dying in any given period, so that there is a small, but positive, prob price will still be in effect in T_{∞} .

We'll be working with a model like this:
(Calvo model)

TIME-DEPENDENT... (cont.)

(5)

Derivation

Firm's prodn fn is $Y_{it} = L_{it}$

and cost of labor is W_t so $MC_t = W_t$

Demand for firm's product is

$$Y_{it} = Y_t (P_{it}/P_t)^{-\eta}$$

so profit-maximizing markup is $\frac{\eta}{\eta-1}$

$$R_t = \frac{1}{P} (P_{it} Y_{it} - W_t L_{it}) = \left(\frac{P_{it}}{P_t} \right) Y_{it} - \left(\frac{W_t}{P_t} \right) Y_{it}$$

$$= Y_t \left[\left(\frac{P_{it}}{P_t} \right)^{1-\eta} - \left(\frac{W_t}{P_t} \right) \left(\frac{P_{it}}{P_t} \right)^{-\eta} \right] \quad (7.8)$$

Firm needs to maximize

$$E \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \lambda_t R_t \right]$$

an odd discount factor, Firm is owned by h'holds with $E \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t U(c, L)_t \right]$

Utility value of a unit of real income at time t

is $\beta^t MUC_t$

$$\text{so } \lambda_t = \frac{\beta^t MUC_t}{\beta^0 MUC_0}$$

OR time zero, i.e. now

TIME-DEPENDENT... (cont.)

(6)

Derivation (cont.)

Firm chooses P_i to max this.

In doing that, it only has to worry about periods in which p_i might still be in effect (firm's price still stuck at P_i).

$$\text{Define } A \equiv E \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} q_t \lambda_t R_t \right]$$

By choosing P_i to max A , I'm maximizing $E \left[\sum \lambda_t R_t \right]$.

$$A = E \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} q_t \lambda_t Y_t \left(\left(\frac{P_i}{P} \right)_t^{1-\gamma} - \left(\frac{W}{P} \right)_t \left(\frac{P_i}{P} \right)_t^{-\gamma} \right) \right]$$

= pull P out front

$$A = E \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} q_t \lambda_t Y_t P^{\gamma-1} \left(P_i^{1-\gamma} - W_t P_i^{-\gamma} \right) \right] \quad (7.9)$$

Now our goal is to put this in terms of P_i^* .

Notation change: $P_{it}^* = P_t^*$. (This is actually OK, because all firms identical, so P_{it}^* same for all.)

Recall $P_t^* = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1} W_t$. So $W_t = \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma} P_t^*$. So:

$$A = E \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} q_t \lambda_t Y_t P_t^{\gamma-1} \left(P_t^{1-\gamma} - \underbrace{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma} P_t^*}_{W_t} P_i^{-\gamma} \right) \right]$$

Rearrange to get...

TIME-DEPENDENT... (cont.)

(7)

$$A = E \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \lambda_t Y_t P_t^{\gamma-1} \underbrace{P_i^{-\gamma} \left(P_i - \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma} P_t^* \right)}_{\text{call this } Z} \right]$$

Note Z is a function of P_i and P_t^* only.
It can be expressed in terms of logs of P_i & P_t^* .

$$Z_t = F(P_i, P_t^*) \quad \text{This gives (7.10)}$$

Taking a second-order Taylor approximation

$$F(P_i, P_t^*) \approx F(P_t^*, P_t^*) + F'(\cdot)(P_i - P_t^*) + \frac{1}{2} F''(\cdot)(P_i - P_t^*)^2$$

What do we know about F' and F'' ?

$$R_t = Y_t P_t^{\gamma-1} Z_t \quad \text{and } P_t^* \text{ maximizes } R_t, \text{ hence}$$

$$\frac{\partial R_t}{\partial P_i} = 0 = Y_t P_t^{\gamma-1} F'(P_i - P_t^*) \quad \text{at } P_i = P_t^*$$

(first-order condition)

$$\frac{\partial^2 R_t}{\partial P_i^2} = Y_t P_t^{\gamma-1} F''(P_i - P_t^*) < 0 \quad \text{at } P_i = P_t^*$$

(second-order condition)

$$\text{hence at } P_i = P_t^*, \quad F'(\cdot) = 0, \quad \underbrace{F''(\cdot)}_{\text{call this } -K} < 0$$

TIME-DEPENDENT...

Taking a second-order... (cont.)

Hence $F(p_i, p_t^e) \approx F(p_t^*, p_t^*) - K(p_i - p_t^*)^2$ (7.11)

Substitute approximation into A:

$$A \approx E \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \gamma_t \gamma_t P_t^{\gamma-1} (F(p_t^*, p_t^*) - K(p_i - p_t^*)^2) \right]$$

Another approximation: $\beta^t \gamma_t \gamma_t P_t^{\gamma-1}$ is \approx constant

"Inflation is low" $\rightarrow P_t$ constant

"Economy is always close to flexible-price equilibrium"

$\rightarrow \gamma_t$ always close to $\bar{\gamma}$

$\rightarrow C_t$ always close to \bar{C} , so MUC constant

"Households' discount factor is close to one"

(households don't discount future utility)

Together with constant MUC,

$\rightarrow \beta^t \gamma_t \gamma_t P_t^{\gamma-1}$ constant

$$A \approx \text{Constant} \cdot E \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t (F(p_t^*, p_t^*) - K(p_i - p_t^*)^2) \right]$$

rearrange to get...

TIME-DEPENDENT... (cont.)

Another approximation (cont.)

$$A \approx \text{Constant} \cdot E \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} q_t F(p_t^*, p_t^*) \right] \leftarrow \begin{matrix} p_i \text{ is it in} \\ \text{here} \end{matrix}$$

$$- \text{Constant} \cdot K \cdot \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} q_t E \left[(p_i - p_t^*)^2 \right] \leftarrow \begin{matrix} \text{this is only} \\ \text{part} \\ \text{we control} \end{matrix}$$

↑ this is certain, so we can bring it out front of $E[]$

To maximize A , choose p_t to minimize

$$J = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} q_t E \left[(p_i - p_t^*)^2 \right] \quad (7.12)$$

How do we minimize this?

Use:

$$E[X^2] = (E[X])^2 + \text{Var}(X) \quad (\text{from defn. of variance})$$

$$\text{so here } E[(p_i - p_t^*)^2] = (E[p_i - p_t^*])^2 + \text{Var}(p_i - p_t^*)$$

p_i is known (we're choosing it)

$$\text{so } E[p_i - p_t^*] = p_i - E[p_t^*]$$

$$\text{Var}(p_i - p_t^*) = \text{Var}(p_t^*)$$

so above

$$J = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} q_t \left((p_i - E[p_t^*])^2 + \text{Var}(p_t^*) \right) \quad (7.12)$$

TIME-DEPENDENT... (cont.)

(10)

Another approximation (cont.)

Find optimal p_i

Now take f.o.c. and solve for optimal value of p_i .

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial p_i} = q_0 \cdot 2 \cdot (p_i - E[p_0^*]) + q_1 \cdot 2 \cdot (p_i - E[p_1^*]) \\ + q_2 \cdot 2 \cdot (p_i - E[p_2^*]) + \dots$$

$$0 = q_0 \cdot 2 \cdot p_i + q_1 \cdot 2 \cdot p_i + q_2 \cdot 2 \cdot p_i + \dots \\ - q_0 \cdot 2 \cdot E[p_0^*] - q_1 \cdot 2 \cdot E[p_1^*] - q_2 \cdot 2 \cdot E[p_2^*] - \dots$$

$$0 = 2 p_i \sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} q_{\tau} - 2 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} q_t E[p_t^*]$$

$$\Rightarrow p_i = \frac{1}{\sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} q_{\tau}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} q_t E[p_t^*]$$

switch to τ
because these
summations
are done
independently

$$\text{Recall } \omega_t = \frac{q_t}{\sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} q_{\tau}}$$

so...

$$p_i = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \omega_t E[p_t^*] \quad (7.13)$$

Done!

TIME-DEPENDENT... (cont.)

(11)

If you don't want to say $\lambda_t \approx 1$

A slightly better approximation is to say $\lambda_t = \beta^t$

Then (7.12) becomes:

$$J = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} q_t \beta^t \left((p_t - E[p_t^*])^2 + \text{Var}(p_t^*) \right) \quad (7.14)$$

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial p_i} = q_0 \beta^0 \cdot 2 \cdot (p_0 - E[p_0^*]) + q_1 \beta^1 \cdot 2 \cdot (p_1 - E[p_1^*]) + \dots$$

$$0 = 2 p_i \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t q_t - 2 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t q_t E[p_t^*]$$

$$\Rightarrow p_i = \frac{1}{\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t q_t} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t q_t E[p_t^*]$$

Define $\tilde{w}_t = \frac{\beta^t q_t}{\sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} \beta^{\tau} q_{\tau}}$

$$p_i = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \tilde{w}_t E[p_t^*] \quad (7.15)$$