## Zeus＇Guide to Participles

Hello！My name is Zeus！As Lord of the Universe，I invented something for my favorite language：Greek！That thing is participles（PTCs），or in Greek，$\mu \varepsilon \tau о \chi \alpha i ́(\mu \varepsilon \tau о \chi \eta ́=$ ＂participation＂）．Participles＂participate＂in the action of the sentence．

Here follows my user－friendly＂Guide to Participles．＂Yes，it＇s a bit long，maybe a bit intricate．But it＇s also designed to direct you to the info you need．Enjoy！

## 1 FORMS：Rules of Thumb

Remember certain rules of thumb：
1．When working with PTCs，try your best to determine（a）if it really is a PTC in the first place，and（b），if so，what its form is．Use the very excellent paradigm tables at the end of vols． 1 and 2 of Athenaze．Do not，in other words，simply guess；check！
2．Middle PTCs（－$\mu \varepsilon$ vos etc．forms）are declined（have adjective endings）like $1^{\text {st }} / 2^{\text {nd }}$ declension adjectives，for instance， $\boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{o} \boldsymbol{\varsigma}$（masc． $2^{\text {nd }}$ decl．）， $\boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\eta}$（fem． $1^{\text {st }}$ decl）， $\kappa \alpha \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{o} \boldsymbol{v}$（neut． $2^{\text {nd }}$ decl．）．Hence pres．mid PTCs of $\lambda v ́ o \mu \alpha \mathrm{t}, ~ " r a n s o m, " ~$

| SINGULAR |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | masc． | fem． | neut． |
| nom． | $\lambda v \mu o ́ \mu \varepsilon v-\mathrm{os}$ | $\lambda v \mu \mathrm{o} \mu \varepsilon ́ v-\eta$ | $\lambda v o ́ \mu \varepsilon v-o v$ |
| gen． | $\lambda v \mu \mathrm{o} \mu \varepsilon ́ v-\mathrm{ov}$ | $\lambda v \mu \mathrm{o} \mu \varepsilon ́ v-\eta \varsigma$ | $\lambda v \mu \mathrm{o} \mu \varepsilon ́ v-\mathrm{ov}$ |
| etc．＂ransoming＂ |  |  |  |

3．Active PTCs，aorist passive PTCs，and active＂perfect＂（i．e．，stative）PTCs always decline according to the following，basic pattern：
－masc．\＆neut：THIRD DECL．
－feminine：FIRST DECL．（like nouns with short alpha）．
For example：
Present active PTC of $\lambda \dot{v} \omega$

| $\lambda v{ }^{\text {cos }}$ | $\lambda$ ט́ovo $\alpha$ | 入úov |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda$ úovtos | $\lambda$ vov́ons | $\lambda$ úovtos |
| 入úovat | $\lambda$ vov́on | $\lambda$ и́ovtı |
| $\lambda$ ט́ovt $\alpha$ | $\lambda$ ט́ovo $\alpha$ v | 入úov |
| etc．（＂releasing＂） |  |  |

Aorist passive PTC of $\lambda \boldsymbol{v} \omega$
$\lambda v \theta \varepsilon i ́ s ~ \lambda v \theta \varepsilon i ̃ \sigma \alpha \quad \lambda v \theta \varepsilon ́ v$
$\lambda v \theta \varepsilon ́ v \tau o s ~ \lambda v \theta \varepsilon i ́ \sigma \eta s ~ \lambda v \theta \varepsilon ́ v \tau o s$
etc. ("released," "having been released")
Perfect active PTC of $\lambda \boldsymbol{v} \omega$
лєликळ́s $\lambda \varepsilon \lambda \cup к v i ̃ \alpha ~ \lambda \varepsilon \lambda v к о ́ s ~$

etc. ("having released")
4. As adjectival forms of verbs, PTCs must agree with the noun or pronoun (expressed or implied) that they modify in case, number, and gender.
5. Generally speaking, when making finite verbs into PTCs, retain the original tense.
a. PTCs don't always, though they can, indicate time.
b. Where they indicate time, that's relative to the main verb. (Aorist = time prior to main verb, present = time during.)
c. Otherwise, they indicate aspect. (Aorist PTCs = "undefined" aspect, i.e., simple action. Present PTCs = imperfective aspect, action repeated, continuous, initiated, vivid, etc.)

## 2 "Generic" or "Default" Translating

PTCs can almost (but not quite) always be translated with an -ing form (active and middle) or with some variant of an -ed form (passive).
ó кv́ $\omega v$ т@ $\chi \chi \omega v$ ойк $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \sigma \pi \varepsilon v ́ \delta \varepsilon$. "The dog, running, hurries home."
ó $\tau \varrho \varepsilon ́ \chi \omega v$ кv́ $\omega v$. "The running dog."
Still, the syntax of PTCs can mostly be understood as alternate ways of expressing something else, often a clause of some kind. Try always to translate PTCs idiomatically, not mechanically; see further below.

## 3 SYNTAX: "Clause Replacement" Use of Participles

Here follow various "clause-replacement" uses of PTCs: usages that can be understood as standing in for a variety of clauses, mostly subordinate, sometimes not. These will be PTCs in the predicate position (no article attached to front of them). These usually go best into English as if you're translating the subordinate clause they're intercahngeable with.

### 3.1 Conditional

"If the dog is (isn't) running, it is (isn't) happy."

ó кv́ $\omega v$ ( $\mu \grave{)}$ ) $\tau \varrho \varepsilon ́ \chi \omega v$ (ov̉) $\chi \alpha$ เ@ $\iota$.
Note that a negative protasis, whether clause or PTC, always $\mu \dot{\eta}$.

### 3.2 Causal

"Because/since/inasmuch as the dog is (isn't) running, it is (isn't) happy."


Note the ov negative in preceding.
ä $\tau \varepsilon$ can be translated as "inasmuch as." You don't need it for causal PTCs; it stresses that the speaker or writer alleges the cause on her/his own authority.

### 3.3 Concessive

"Although the dog is running, still, it is isn't happy."


$\kappa \alpha i ́ \pi \varepsilon \rho$ can be translated as "although." It emphasizes concession, but is not always found with concessive PTCs.

### 3.4 Temporal/Circumstantial

"When(ever) the dog is running, it is happy."
ó кv́ $\omega v$, ő $\alpha \alpha v \tau \varrho \varepsilon ́ \chi \eta, \chi \alpha$ í@eı.
ó кv́ $\omega v$ т@ $\chi \chi \omega \nu \chi \alpha$ í@ $\varepsilon$.
These can be indistinguishable from conditional or causal PTCs.

### 3.5 Future Participle of Purpose

"The dog runs in order to be happy."
ó кú $\omega v$ t@é $\chi \varepsilon\llcorner ~ I ̋ v a ~ \chi \alpha i ́ \varrho \eta . ~$
ó кv́ $\omega v$ т@ $\chi \chi \varepsilon เ ~ \chi \alpha \iota \varrho \eta ́ \sigma \omega v . ~$

### 3.6 Sequential action

These are PTCs that replace verbs lined up sequentially, one action following the preceeding in time. All but the last action will typically be represented by an aorist particicple; but the non-PTC at end may well be in aor, too!
"Go home, do your homework, and go to sleep." (sequential commands)

Better:
 "having done your homework"), $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \delta \alpha \varrho \theta \varepsilon$ (imperat. "go to sleep!").

### 3.7 Use of $\dot{\omega} \varsigma$ with Participles

$\omega \varsigma$ with a participle "sets forth the ground of belief on which the agent acts" (Smyth 2086), especially with PTCs of cause or purpose:
ó кú $\omega v \chi \alpha$ í@eı $\omega$ ऽ т@ $\chi \chi \omega v$ (cause).
"The dog is happy because, so it thinks, it is running."
OR "The dog is happy as if because it is running."
OR "The dog is happy under the pretence that it is running."
ó кט́ $\omega v \tau \varrho \varepsilon ́ \chi \varepsilon \iota \omega \varrho \prec \chi \alpha \iota \varrho \eta ́ \sigma \omega \nu$ (purpose).
"The dog runs in the belief that it will thereby be happy."
OR "The dog runs as if for the purpose of becoming happy."

### 3.8 Absolute Participles

Greek has two absolute PTC constructions: genitive and accusative.
The term "absolute" here simply means that the PTC is in a case (gen. or acc.) flagging its disconnection from the relational syntax of the main part of the sentence.
(I.e., the PTC doesn't modify a word that's subject, DO, IndO, object of prep., etc. It stands outside those structures.)
(Actually, the origin of these was probably not "absolutism" (i.e., disconnection) but adverbial: for gen. abs., genitive of cause/origin, for acc., the adverbial accusative.)
Absolute PTCs, whether genitive or accusative, are always of the "clause-replacement" variety.

### 3.8.1 Genitive Absolute: When Used, When Not

The point of the genitive is only to show that the noun or pronoun the PTC modifies has no syntactic connection to the rest of the sentence.

## Not gen. absol.:

 $\tau \varrho \varepsilon ́ \chi \omega v$ modifies $\kappa v ์ \omega v$, the subject of the sentence.)

## Yes gen. absol.!

 the dog is running.")
 from playing a grammar role in the main part of the sentence.

### 3.8.2 Accusative Absolute

Accusative absolute is like the genitive absolute except in one way: the noun/pronoun it modifies is always impersonal and unexpressed - i.e., it is used only with impersonal verbs.

Acc. absolutes are always impersonal verbs in a neuter accusative singular form of the PTC:
"It being necessary for the dog to run, Philip is happy."

"It having been resolved by ("it having seemed good to") me to let the dog loose, it ran and ran."
 ran and ran.")

The PTC phrase still stands outside the syntax of the rest of the sentence, except that the PTC itself is impersonal and so has nothing it modifies.

### 3.9 Modal Participles

### 3.9.1 Regular Modal Participles

Modal PTCs are like clause-replacement PTCs (above), except they are usually translated as if adverbs. That is, in terms of meaning, that have to do with the "how" of the verb, though in terms of grammar, they still modify an expressed or unexpressed noun or pronoun.
"The dog happily runs (lit. "being-happy runs")."
ó кú $\omega v$ т@ $\check{\chi \varepsilon \iota ~ \chi \alpha i ́ \varrho \omega \nu . ~}$

### 3.9.2 Special/Idiomatic Modal Participles: $\lambda \alpha v \theta \alpha ́ v \omega, \varphi \theta \alpha ́ v \omega$

$\lambda \alpha v \theta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$ and $\varphi \theta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$ regularly appear as modal PTCs (usually in aorist) with idiomatic meanings:
"The dog runs unseen to the boy."
 running].")
"The dog runs before the boy gets a chance to do so." (Lit., "The dog anticipating the boy runs.")


## 4 SYNTAX: Noun-Phrase/Clause

This is the use of PTCs in the attributive position, i.e., preceeded by an article. These can be translated with noun phrases, relative clauses ( $w / w / 0$ demonstrative), nouns, etc.
"The dogs that run," "the running dogs"
oí т@モ́ $\chi$ оvteऽ кúveऽ
"Those who run," "the runners"
oi т@é $\chi$ оvtes
You can even find these without the article: $\tau \varrho \varepsilon ́ \chi o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$, "those who run," "runners"

## 5 SYNTAX: Indirect Discourse Participles with Verbs of Perception

"I see the dog running." "I see that the dog is running."
ó@ $\omega$ tòv кúv $\alpha$ t@é $\chi o v \tau \alpha$.

## 6 SYNTAX: Complementary Participles

The following are basically idiomatic usages of PTCs "filling out" (complementary) the meaning of a special verb. Note that the PTC itself usually cannot be translated into an English -ing or -ed form.
"The dog happens to be running." (Lit., "The dog hits-upon [while] running.")
ó кv́ $\omega v \tau v \gamma \chi \alpha ́ v e \iota ~ \tau \varrho \varepsilon ́ \chi \omega v . ~$
"The dog is evidently/plainly running."
ó кv́ $\omega v$ 甲 $\alpha i ́ v e \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau \varrho \varepsilon ́ \chi \omega v . ~$

