

**Econ 466, Fall 2004**  
**Midterm Examination 1**  
**Total: 100 points**  
**Time: 1 hour and 15 minutes**

**Note: Answer all questions. Write clearly and legibly. Good Luck!!**

1. You are given the following 6 data points on Y and X. The Y values are: -4, -2, 4, 2, 0, 3. The corresponding X values are: -3, -1, 0, 2, 3, 4.
- (i) Using these numbers compute  $\sum_{i=1}^6 X_i, \sum_{i=1}^6 Y_i, \sum_{i=1}^6 X_i Y_i, \sum_{i=1}^6 X_i^2$  **(12 points)**
  - (ii) Use the numbers in (i) to estimate the intercept and slope coefficients for the regression  $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + u$ . **(8 points)**
  - (iii) Compute  $SST = \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2, SSE = \hat{\beta}_1^2 \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$  and  $R^2$ . **(8 points)**
  - (iv) Find the estimated standard error of  $\hat{\beta}_1$ . **(6 points)**
  - (v) Compute  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  and t values for the null hypothesis  $\hat{\beta}_1 = 0$  **(4 points)**
  - (i) Compute  $\hat{\sigma}^2$ , the estimated standard error of  $\hat{\beta}_1$  and the t value for the null hypothesis  $\hat{\beta}_1 = 0$ . **(10 points)**

2. Using **43 observations** you estimated the following model

$$\ln Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 S + \beta_2 N + u \quad (1)$$

and obtained the following result

$$\ln \hat{Y} = 8.71 + 0.14 S + 0.023 N, R^2 = 0.37 \quad (2)$$

(0.113) (0.005) (0.009)

where  $\ln \hat{Y}$  = the natural log of earnings, S = years of schooling, and N = years of experience. Standard errors are in parentheses.

- (i) Interpret in simple English the coefficients associated with S and N in the above regression. **(8 points)**

- (ii) Test the hypothesis that schooling has no effect on earnings at the 5% level of significance. **(6 points)**
- (iii) Compute the 95% confidence interval of  $\beta_2$  and show how the test result in (ii) is related to the confidence interval. **(6 points)**
- (iv) Find the p value of the test  $\beta_2 = 0$  against the alternative that  $\beta_2 > 0$ . **(8 points)**
- (v) Your friend knows only how to run a simple regression, and he used only schooling in his regression and obtained the following result

$$\ln \hat{Y} = 8.98 + 0.19 S, R^2 = 0.32 \quad (3)$$

(0.119) (0.007)

Do you think that he did something wrong? We derived a result that relates the estimated coefficient of a simple regression which is  $\hat{\alpha}_1 = \hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2 \cdot \hat{\delta}$  where  $\hat{\beta}_0$ ,  $\hat{\beta}_1$  and  $\hat{\beta}_2$  are the estimated coefficients of the multiple regression,  $\hat{\alpha}_0$  and  $\hat{\alpha}_1$  are the estimated coefficients of the simple regression, and  $\hat{\delta}$  is the slope coefficient of the regression N on S. Use this result to find  $\hat{\delta}$  and explain to him what is wrong with his regression. When do you think your result will be identical to that of your friend? **(12 points)**

- (vi) How would you test the hypothesis  $2\beta_1 + 4\beta_2 = 0$  using (1)? Describe all the steps that you need to do to get the job done in Excel. **(8 points)**
- (vii) How would you test the joint hypothesis  $\beta_1 = 0$  and  $\beta_2 = 1$ ? Describe all the steps in details. **(8 points)**
- (viii) Test the joint hypothesis that  $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$  (no regression) at the 5% level of significance. **(6 points)**