

**Assignment #1**  
**Due: Feb 18??**  
**Econometrics 616**

1. The file tbrate.txt contains data for the period 1950:1 to 1996:4 for three economics series:  $r_t$ , the interest rate on 90-day treasury bills,  $\pi_t$ , the rate of inflation, and  $y_t$ , the logarithm of real GDP. Using this data estimate the model:

$$\Delta r_t = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \pi_{t-1} + \beta_3 \Delta y_{t-1} + \beta_4 \Delta r_{t-1} + \beta_5 \Delta r_{t-2} + u_t$$

Where  $\Delta$  is the first difference operator defined as  $\Delta x_t = x_t - x_{t-1}$ . Record both the estimates of all model parameters as well as determining the fitted residuals of the model,  $\hat{u}_t$ . This will be regression 1. Next regress  $\Delta r_t$  on a constant,  $\Delta y_{t-1}$ ,  $\Delta r_{t-1}$ , and  $\Delta r_{t-2}$ . Save the fitted residuals and call them  $\hat{e}_t$ . When this is done regress  $\pi_{t-1}$  on a constant,  $\Delta y_{t-1}$ ,  $\Delta r_{t-1}$ , and  $\Delta r_{t-2}$ . Save the fitted residuals from this regression and call them  $\hat{v}_t$ . Now run the regression  $\hat{e}_t = \alpha \hat{v}_t + w_t$ . What do you notice about the parameter estimate from this regression? What do you notice about the fitted residuals,  $\hat{w}_t$ , from this regression? The niceness of your results are called the Frisch-Waugh-Lovell theorem. Curious readers may consult Frisch and Waugh, *Econometrica* 1933, Lovell, *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 1963 or Davidson and MacKinnon, *Estimation and Inference in Econometrics*, 1993, particularly chapter 1.

2. *Simple versus multiple regression coefficients.* Consider the multiple regression  $Y_i = \alpha + \beta_2 X_{2i} + \beta_3 X_{3i} + u_i$  along with the following auxiliary regressions

$$X_{2i} = \hat{a} + \hat{b} X_{3i} + \hat{v}_{2i}$$

$$X_{3i} = \hat{c} + \hat{d} X_{2i} + \hat{v}_{3i}$$

In class it was shown that  $\hat{\beta}_2$  could be interpreted directly from the regression of Y on the OLS residuals  $\hat{v}_2$ . A similar interpretation can be given to  $\hat{\beta}_3$ . Kennedy (1981, pg.416, it is not necessary to read Kennedy's paper) claims that  $\hat{\beta}_2$  is not necessarily the same as  $\hat{\delta}_2$ , the OLS estimate of obtained from the regression  $Y_i = \gamma + \delta_2 \hat{v}_{2i} + \delta_3 \hat{v}_{3i} + w_i$ . Prove this claim by finding a relationship between both  $\hat{\beta}_2$  and  $\hat{\beta}_3$  and  $\hat{\delta}_2$  and  $\hat{\delta}_3$ .

3. For the simple regression  $Y_i = \alpha + \beta_2 X_{2i} + u_i$  show that

a)  $\hat{\beta}_2 = \frac{\sum xy}{\sum x^2}$  can be obtained using the residual interpretation by

regressing X on a constant first, obtaining the residuals  $\hat{v}$ , and then regressing Y on  $\hat{v}$ .

- b)  $\hat{\alpha} = \bar{Y} - \hat{\beta}_2 \bar{X}_2$  can be obtained using residuals as well by regressing a column of ones on  $X_2$ , obtaining the residuals  $\hat{w}$ , and then regressing  $Y$  on  $\hat{w}$ .
- c) Check the  $Var(\hat{\alpha})$  and  $Var(\hat{\beta})$  in parts (a) and (b) with those obtained from the residualing interpretation.
4. *Effect of additional regressors on  $R^2$ .* Suppose that the multiple regression has  $K_1$  regressors in it. Denote the OLS **residual** sum of squares by  $RSS_1$ . Now add  $K_2$  additional regressors so that the total number of regressors in the model is  $K = K_1 + K_2$ . Denote the corresponding OLS residual sum of squares by  $RSS_2$ . Show that  $RSS_2 \leq RSS_1$  and conclude that the corresponding R-squares from the models satisfy  $R_2^2 \geq R_1^2$ .
5. Suppose that we have estimated the parameters of the multiple regression model  $Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_{2i} + \beta_3 X_{3i} + u_i$  by OLS. Denote the residuals as  $e_i$  and the fitted values by  $\hat{Y}_i$ .
- What is the  $R^2$  of the regression  $e$  on a constant,  $X_2$ , and  $X_3$ ?
  - If we regress  $Y$  on a constant and  $\hat{Y}$ , what are the estimated intercept and slope coefficients? What is the relationship between the  $R^2$  of this regression and the  $R^2$  of the original regression?
  - If we regress  $Y$  on a constant and  $e$ , what are the estimated intercept and slope coefficients? What is the relationship between the  $R^2$  of this regression and the  $R^2$  of the original regression?
  - Suppose that we add a new explanatory variable  $X_4$  to the model and re-estimate the parameters using OLS. Show that the estimated coefficient of  $X_4$  and its estimated standard error will be the same as in the OLS regression of  $e$  on a constant,  $X_2$ ,  $X_3$ , and  $X_4$ .

#### References

Kennedy, P.E.(1981), "The Balentine: A Graphical Aid for Econometrics," *Australian Economic Papers*, 20:414-416.