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## 1. Introduction

The electrons in a metal move along the cyclotron orbits. The induced magnetic fields must therefore average out over the regions with dimensions of the order $r_{\mathrm{H}}$

$$
r_{H}=\frac{c \hbar k_{F}}{e H} \approx 10^{-3} \mathrm{~cm}
$$

in a field of 10 kOe . The mean distance between electrons is about $1 \AA$. Thus it is clear that the field acting on the conduction electron is the average field inside the metal. The average of the microscopic field is simply the magnetic induction $\boldsymbol{B}$. In the situation where the susceptibilti becomes large, the field $\boldsymbol{H}$ is not equal to $B$, and it will be related to $\boldsymbol{B}$ through the magnetization $M(B)$ as

$$
\boldsymbol{H}=\boldsymbol{B}-4 \pi M(\boldsymbol{B})
$$



Fig. Relation between external magnetic field H and magnetic induction B arising as a result of dHvA effect. The portion a-b of the curve is unstable; $(\partial H / \partial B)_{T}<0$.

When $|\boldsymbol{M}(\boldsymbol{B})| \ll|\boldsymbol{B}|, \boldsymbol{H} \approx \boldsymbol{B}$. However, if $|\boldsymbol{M}(\boldsymbol{B})| \approx|\boldsymbol{B}|$, the situation becomes different. For some values of $H$, there are three values of $B$. Such a situation suggests an instability similar to that occuring in the $P-V$ phase diagram representing the van der Waals equation of state.



Fig. $\quad P-V$ phase diagram for the van der Waals gas with constant temperature (isotherm) exhibiting an unstable portion where $\partial P / \partial V<0$.

In the case of the van der Waals equation of state, the portion of the P-V isothem where $(\partial P / \partial V)_{T}<0$ is unstable thermodynamically. The condition for the appearance of discontinuous jump in $B$ is the appearance of the interval with $(\partial H / \partial B)_{T}<0$ and the $H-B$ curve or, equivalently

$$
\chi=\frac{\partial M(B)}{\partial B}>\frac{1}{4 \pi} .
$$

The shaded area showm in the figure below should be equal. Equivalently,

$$
\int_{B_{1}}^{B_{2}}\left(H-H_{0}\right) d B=0
$$



## 2. Experimental results (Shoenberg effect)

The Shoenberg effect has been studied in the noble metals and particularly in Be where it is very strongly marked.
(1) Be needle-like sample

## Be needle-like sample


(2) Ag

A very interesting experimental result on the Shoenberg effect has been reported by Kramer et al. for Ag sample under strong Landau quantization in magnetic fields up to 10 T . They use a set of micro Hall probes for the detection of the local induction. Their results are reported in Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 267209 (2005).


FIG. 2 (color online). $\quad B(H)$ trace for silver single crystal with $H \|[100]$ showing the splitting $\delta B$ of $B_{1}$ and $B_{5}$ of the $L$ array for three dHvA periods separated by dotted lines. The dashed line is a guide to the eye for $B-\mu_{0} H_{0}=\mu_{0} H_{V}$.
(Kramer et al. PRL. 95, 267209 (2005).)


FIG. 4 (color online). Example of successive transitions for five $T$ probes between diamagnetic and paramagnetic phase. The sweep rate was $0.5 \mathrm{mT} / \mathrm{min}$.
(Kramer et al. PRL 95, 267209 (2005))

## 3. Model for the Shoenberg effect (by Pippard)

Here we discuss the Shoenberg effect, which was discovered by Shoenberg in the course of experiments on the de Haas-van Alphen effect in the noble metals (1962). He observed a line shape from of de Haas-van Alphen oscillation from sinusoidal oscillation, which is dependent on the demagnatization factor. According to Pippard. there is one interaction which leads to observable effects, and which fortunately is a macroscopic in character and capable of analysis without probing too deeply into fundamentals. This is the magnetic interaction which becomes important when the de Haas-van Alphen effect is strong enough to make the internal field in the sample significantly different from the applied field $H$ (Shoenberg effect). The internal field responsible for determining the energy levels inside the sample is the macroscopic $B$, and in the highly oscillatory de Haas-van Alphen effect it does not take much to make $B$ differ from $H$ by a substantial fraction of a cycle of the oscillation.

The oscillatory magnetization due to the dHvA can be described by

$$
M=M_{0} \sin \left(\frac{2 \pi F}{H}-\phi\right)
$$

where $\phi$ is a phase factor and $F$ is the dHvA frequency, and $H$ is the external magnetic field. Suppose that H is changed around $H=H_{0}$;

$$
H=H_{0}+h, \quad B=H_{0}+b
$$

where $\left||h|\right.$ is very small compared to $H_{0}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M=A_{0} \sin \left(\frac{2 \pi F}{H_{0}{ }^{2}} h\right) \\
& I=I_{0} \sin \left(\frac{2 \pi F}{H_{0}{ }^{2}} h\right)=I_{0} \sin (\lambda H)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\lambda=\frac{2 \pi F}{H_{0}{ }^{2}}
$$

The magnetic induction (effective magnetic field) B is expressed by

$$
B=H+4 \pi M=H_{0}+h+4 \pi M=H_{0}+b
$$

where

$$
b=h+4 \pi M
$$

We now simply write the magnetization $M$ as

$$
M=M_{0} \sin (\lambda b)
$$

where $h$ is replaced by $b$.

Pippard has considered the problem of magnetic interaction and has proved that Shoenberg's conjecture is true, i.e., the dHvA oscillations are simple oscillating function of the magnetic induction $B$. Over limited ranges of field, the magnetization can be approximated by

$$
M=M_{0} \sin (\lambda b)
$$

where the magnetic induction $B$ is related to an external magnetic field $H$ as

$$
b=h+4 \pi(1-D) M
$$

where $D$ is the demagnetization factor. From the above equations, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
y & =4 \pi \lambda M \\
& \left.=4 \pi M_{0} \sin (\lambda b)\right] \\
& =a \sin [x+(1-D) y]
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
y=4 \pi \lambda M, \quad x=\lambda h, \quad a=4 \pi \lambda M_{0},
$$

Note that $\lambda b$ is expressed by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda b & =\lambda[h+4 \pi(1-D) M] \\
& =x+(1-D) y
\end{aligned}
$$

The criterion for the magnetic interaction to be significant is simply

$$
a>2 \text {. }
$$

In order to solve the nonlinear equations, we introduce

$$
\theta=x+(1-D) y .
$$

Then we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y=a \sin \theta \\
& x=\theta-a(1-D) \sin \theta .
\end{aligned}
$$

In other words, $x$ and $y$ are expressed in terms of $\theta, a$ and $D$. The Gibbs free energy is obtained as

$$
G=-\int M d h=-\frac{1}{4 \pi \lambda^{2}} \int y d x,
$$

or

$$
g=4 \pi \lambda^{2} G=-\int y d x .
$$

This can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
g & =-\int y d x=-\int a \sin \theta[1-a(1-D) \cos \theta] d \theta \\
& =-\int\left[a \sin \theta-a^{2}(1-D) \sin \theta \cos \theta\right] d \theta \\
& =-\int\left[a \sin \theta-\frac{a^{2}}{2}(1-D) \sin 2 \theta\right] d \theta \\
& =a \cos \theta-\frac{a^{2}}{4}(1-D) \cos (2 \theta)+g_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

in terms of $\theta$, where $g_{0}$ is a constant. The local maximum and local minimum of the value of $x$ for the curve of $x$ vs $\theta$, are obtained as

$$
\frac{d x}{d \theta}=1-a(1-D) \cos \theta
$$

When $\frac{d x}{d \theta}=0$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{a(1-D)}=\cos \theta, \quad \text { or } \quad \theta=\arccos \left[\frac{1}{a(1-D)}\right] .
$$

This problem is very similar to the phase transition of van der Waals system such as (liquid-gas transition).

## 4. Numerical calculation

Here we solve the problem by using the Mathematica in the following ways. We show typical results of $y$ vs $x, g$ vs $x$, and $b$ vs $h$ ) where the magnetization factor $D$ and $a\left(=4 \pi \lambda M_{0}\right)$
are appropriately chosen as parameters. We notice that the dHvA oscillation ( $y$ vs $x$ ) becomes distorted when a approaches unity from small $a(<1)$. For $a>1$, y is a multi-valued function of $x$.
(i) $\quad y$ vs $x$ (magnetization vs external magnetic field) and $g$ vs $x$ (free energy vs an external magnetic field)

ParametricPlot of $\{x=\theta-a(1-D) \sin \theta, y=a \sin \theta\}$
ParametricPlot of $\{x, g\}$
(ii) $\quad b$ vs $h$ (magnetic induction vs external magnetic field) relation

ParametricPlot of $\{x, \theta\}$, where $\lambda b=\theta, \quad \lambda h=x$
(1) $\quad a=2, D=0$.


Fig. $\quad y$ vs $x$ (denoted by blue line). $g$ vs $x$ (denoted by red line).


Fig. Relation between $\lambda b$ vs $\lambda h$.
(2) $\quad a=4 \cdot D=0$.


(3) $\quad a=4 \cdot D=0.2$.


(4) $\quad a=4 . D=0.4$.

(5) $\quad a=4 . D=0.6$

(6) $\quad a=4 . D=0.7$

(7) $\quad a=3 \cdot D=0.56$
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## APPENDIX

Mathematica program

```
Clear["Global`*"]; a = 4; D1 = 0.2; x1 = 0-a (1-D1) Sin[0];
```



```
x11 = x1 /. 0 -> 01 // N; y11 = y1 /. 0 -> 01 // N;
eq1 = FindRoot[x1 == 0, {0, \pi/20, \pi}]; y22 = y1 /. eq1[[1]];
eq2 = FindRoot[x1 == -x11, {0, \pi/20, \pi}]; y21 = y1 /. eq2[[1]] ;
f0 =
    Graphics[{PointSize[0.02], Red,
    Table[{PointSize[0.01], Red, Point[{x11 + 2n\pi, y11}],
        Point[{-x11+2n\pi, y21}], Point[{-x11+2n\pi, -y11}],
        Point[{x11 + 2n\pi, -y21}], Point[{2n\pi, -y22}],
        Point[{2n\pi, y22}], Line[{{x11+2n\pi, -y21}, {x11+2n\pi, y11}}],
        Line[{{-x11+2n\pi, -y11}, {-x11 + 2n\pi, y21}}],
        Line[{{2n\pi, -y22}, {2n\pi, y22}}]}, {n, 0, 1, 1}] }];
f1 = ParametricPlot[{x1, y1}, { }0,-\pi,3\pi}
```



```
f2 = ParametricPlot[{x1, g1}, { }0,-\pi,3\pi}
    Ticks }->\mathrm{ {Range[- л, 3 ת, m]}, PlotStyle }->\mathrm{ {Red, Thick}];
f3 = Graphics[{Text[Style["g", Black, 15], {0.5, 0.8}],
    Text[Style["y", Black, 15], {0.6\pi, 1.2}],
    Text[Style["x", Black, 12], {3.5\pi, 0}],
    Text[Style["C", Black, 12], {x11, -y21-0.2}],
    Text[Style["C'", Black, 12], {x11-0.2, y11}],
    Text[Style["A", Black, 12], {0.2, -y22-0.2}],
    Text[Style["A'", Black, 12], {0.2, y22 + 0.2}],
    Text[Style["B", Black, 12], {-x11 + 0.2, -y11-0.2}],
    Text[Style["B'", Black, 12], {-x11+0.2, y21 + 0.2}],
    Text[Style["a=" <> ToString[a], Black, 12], {\pi, 2.0}],
    Text[Style["D=" <> ToString[D1], Black, 12], {\pi, 1.5}]}];
Show[f1, f2, f3, f0, PlotRange -> All]
```



```
\(\mathrm{f} 4=\operatorname{ParametricPlot}[\{x 1, \theta\},\{\theta,-2 \pi, 3 \pi\}\),
    Ticks \(\rightarrow\{\) Range \([-2 \pi, 3 \pi, \pi]\), Range \([-2 \pi, 3 \pi, \pi]\}\),
    PlotStyle \(\rightarrow\) \{Red, Thick\}, Background \(\rightarrow\) LightGray,
```



```
f6 = Graphics[\{Text[Style["a=" <> ToString[a], Black, 12], \{ \(\pi, 8.5\}]\),
    Text[Style["D=" <> ToString[D1], Black, 12], \{л, 7.7\}]\}];
Show[f4, f5, f6]
```



